

THE SMALLER CLAIMS COURT
CLOUDHACKS JURY DIVISION

DOCKET NO. PC-2026-0038

COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

Ranen

PLAINTIFF

- against -

Xuan han

DEFENDANT

FILED

September 9, 2026

ORDERED

September 9, 2026

EXHIBITS

NONE

RELIEF SOUGHT

\$17 and an appology

FINDINGS, OPINION AND ORDER

Ranen v. Xuan han, 521 McD's 23 (2025)

I. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

STOLE MY MCDONALDS

II. OPINION OF THE COURT

In the absence of evidence, as established in *Gonzalez v. Phantom Pizza, 329 Snack 14 (2022)*, the court relies heavily on testimony and the totality of circumstances. Ranen's claim, while persuasive, lacks documentary support, much like the precedent's mystical conundrum. Xuan han's rebuttal is limited to silence, evoking echoes of *The Silent Fridge Dispute, 211 Quiet 345 (2016)*.

III. DISPOSITION

Let judgment be rendered in favor of Ranen.

DAMAGES AND OBLIGATIONS AWARDED

Nine (9) dollars, plus one (1) heartfelt apology haiku within 72 hours, composed on paper with a drawing of a Big Mac.



Hon. Delphine Vex



Hon. Delphine Vex
Judge, Smaller Claims Court
Entered September 9, 2026



The complete record of this matter, including any recording of the ruling as delivered from the bench,
is available at <https://smallerclaimscourt.vercel.app/case/zgbpgz92>