

THE SMALLER CLAIMS COURT
CLOUDHACKS JURY DIVISION

DOCKET NO. PC-2026-0029

COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE

Ed

PLAINTIFF

- against -

PP

DEFENDANT

FILED

September 8, 2026

ORDERED

September 8, 2026

EXHIBITS

NONE

RELIEF SOUGHT

\$200 compensation

FINDINGS, OPINION AND ORDER

Gander v. Empty Promises, 678 Petty 404 (2022)

I. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

They hired me to do a marketing post, but ended up not paying me.

II. OPINION OF THE COURT

In the absence of tangible evidence, we turn to the guiding principle of "assertio sola non sufficit," as enshrined in *Gander v. Empty Promises*. Given the totality of murmured commitments and ungathered proof, we ascertain intention as a faint shadow yet to manifest.

III. DISPOSITION

The plaintiff, Ed, shall prevail for the breach asserted by mere vaporous agreement.

DAMAGES AND OBLIGATIONS AWARDED

Ed is awarded \$75, plus one (1) finger-painted artistic interpretation of marketing justifications by PP, to be delivered within three (3) days.

SMALLER CLAIMS

Hon. Delphine Vex

Hon. Delphine Vex



Judge, Small Claims Court

Entered September 8, 2026



The complete record of this matter, including any recording of the ruling as delivered from the bench,
is available at <https://smallerclaimscourt.vercel.app/case/v4b49s8e>